

Can't Miss Radiology Diagnoses

Jennifer W. Uyeda, MD Assistant Professor of Radiology Associate Division Chief, Emergency Radiology

October 2024

Department of Radiology. | Confidential—do not copy or distribute



Financial Disclosures

I have no relevant financial disclosures.




Lecture Outline


Over the next 50-55 minutes, the audience will be able to:


- Interpret basic chest radiographs
- Recognize and locate various tubes and lines
- Identify "can't miss radiology diagnoses" on plain x-ray and CT
- Discuss various imaging protocols and considerations
- Recognize the pros and cons of IV and PO contrast use in CT
- Optimally triage renal failure and contrast allergy patients





Part I: Interpreting chest radiographs



























Atelectasis

Imaging findings:

Whiteout with volume losselevation of hemidiaphragm and ipsilateral tracheal & mediastinal shift




Imaging findings:


Whiteout with mass effectcontralateral tracheal & mediastinal shift





1200 mL serosanguineous fluid

































Q1. What is the most concerning finding on this CXR?

- A. Location of feeding tube
- B. Pulmonary edema
- C. Left pneumothorax
- D. Right lung pneumonia




HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL TEACHING HOSPITAL


A. Location of feeding tube


Dobhoff tube is incorrectly located in the right mainstem bronchus.


Correct position: esophageal with tip below the diaphragm.

Do NOT feed the patient! Reposition Dobhoff.

























































HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL TEACHING HOSPITAL


Identify liver & diaphragm

UPRIGHT radiograph is helpful to identify antidependent air










Perforated Bowel: Peptic Ulcer


One of the most common causes of perforated bowel


Free Intraperitoneal Air


- Abdominal emergency requiring surgical or percutaneous intervention
- Contained perforations can be managed conservatively
- Most common sources:
 - Perforated diverticulitis
 - Perforated peptic ulcers
 - Others: perforated carcinoma, bowel ischemia
- latrogenic:
 - Post endoscopy, colonoscopy
 - Postoperative















BWH Adhesive SBO pathway





- Trial of conservative management of SBO
 - 80% SBO will resolve without surgery
 - High grade SBO can be safely managed non-operatively
 - Iodinated water soluble PO contrast that reaches colon within 24 hours indicates SBO will resolve without surgery
 - 97% sensitivity, 96% specificity
 - Current guidelines recommend that patients without resolution undergo surgery by 3-5 days
- Exclusion criteria:
 - Cancer, pregnancy, intra-abdominal infection















Aortic Aneurysm Rupture

Break in wall of abdominal aortic aneurysm

I+: AAA with active extravasation

I-: focal discontinuity of calcification, High attenuation hematoma









Imaging Tool Box


- Radiography/fluoroscopy
- Ultrasound (US)
- Computed tomography (CT)
- Magnetic resonance imaging (MR)
- Nuclear medicine (PET/CT, GI bleeding scan, HIDA, bone scan, etc)




→ CT ABDOMEN/PELVIS Abd_ABD --*** SCAN ABDOMEN ONLY NO PELVIS** {74160} Abd_Abd--***SCAN PELVIS ONLY NO ABDOMEN*** {72193} Abd_Abd, GU, Kidney, Renal Mass {74170} Abd_Abd-Adrenal, Adrenal Mass (74150, 74160, 74170) Abd_Abd-GU, CT Cystogram {72193} Abd_Abd-Liver, Liver Mass {74170} Abd_Abd-Pel, Abdomen/Pelvis {74176, 74177, 74178} Abd_Abd-Pel, GI, Colonography, Diagnostic {74262} Abd_Abd-Pel, Gl, Colonography, Screening {74263} Abd_Abd-Pel, Gl, Enterography Dual Phase {74177} Abd_Abd-Pel, GI, Enterography Single Phase (74177) Abd_Abd-Pel, GU, Stone Protocol (I-) {74176} Abd_Abd-Pel, GU, Urogram {74178;76377} Abd_Abd-Pel, GU, Urogram, Under 35 {74178;76377} Abd_Abd-Pel, Hernia {74178},{74176} Abd_Abd-Pel, Hypervascular Tumor Staging {74177} Abd_Abd-Pel, Low Dose Follow Up Known Ureteral Stone {74176} Abd_Abd-Pel, Panc Dual Phase {74177} Abd_Abd-Pel, Panc Three Phase {74177;76377} Abd_Ch-Abd, Chest/Abdomen ONLY ***NO PELVIS*** {71250, 71260, 71270, 74150, 74160, 74170} Abd_Ch-Abd-Pel, Chest/Abdomen/Pelvis {71250, 71260, 71270, 74176, 74177, 74178} Abd_Ch-Abd-Pel, Hypervascular Tumor Staging-Chest/Abdomen/Pelvis {71260, 74177} Abd_Nk_Ch-Ab-Pel, Neck/Chest/Abdomen/Pelvis {70490, 70491, 70492, 71250, 71260, 71270, 74176, 74177, 74178} CH_Research Only {71250} ER_Mesenteric and GI Bleeding CTA (74174) ER_Trauma (Panscan Plus): Head, Face, CTLS-spine, C/A/P {70450;70486;72125;72129;72132;71260;74177} ER_Trauma (Panscan): Head, CTLS-spine, C/A/P {70450;72125;72129;72132;71260;74177} ER_Trauma, A/P, LS-spine {74177;72132} ER_Trauma, C/A/P, TLS-spine {71260;74177;72129;72132} ER_Trauma, Head, C-spine, A/P, LS-spine {70460;72125;74177;72131}










ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

The ACR Appropriateness Criteria® (AC) are evidence-based guidelines to assist referring physicians and other providers in making the most appropriate imaging or treatment decision for a specific clinical condition. Employing these guidelines helps providers enhance quality of care and contribute to the most efficacious use of radiology.



https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/ACR-Appropriateness-Criteria



GastroIntestInal						
Topic Name	Narrative & Rating Table	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Acute Noniocalized Abdominal Pain	Narrative & Rating Table	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Acute Pancreatitis	Narrative & Rating Table	Evidence Table		Appendix		
Blunt Abdominal Trauma	Narrative & Rat- ing Table	Evidence Table		Appendix		
Chronic Liver Disease	Narrative & Rat-	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Colorectal Cancer Screening	Narrative & Rat-	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Crohn Disease	Narrative & Rating Table	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Dysphagia	Narrative & Rat-	Evidence Table		Appendix		
Imaging of Mesenteric Ischemia	Narrative & Rat- ing Table	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Jaundice	Narrative & Rating Table	Evidence Table		Appendix		
Left Lower Quadrant Pain — Suspected Diverticulitis	Narrative & Rating Table	Evidence Table		Appendix		
Liver Lesion — Initial Characterization	Narrative & Rat-	Evidence Table		Appendix		
Nonvariceal Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding	Narrative & Rating Table	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Palpable Abdominal Mass	Narrative & Rat-	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Pretreatment Staging of Colorectal Cancer	Narrative & Rat- ing Table	Evidence Table	Lit Search	Appendix		
Right Lower Quadrant Pain — Suspected Appendicitis	Narrative & Rat-	Evidence Table		Appendix		

American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Imaging of Mesenteric Ischemia

Variant 1:	Suspected acute mesenteric ischemia, Initial imaging,

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level	
CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	999	
CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	***	
Arteriography abdomen	May Be Appropriate (Disagreement)	222	
MRA abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate (Disagreement)	0	
X-ray abdomen	May Be Appropriate	99	
US duplex Doppler abdomen	May Be Appropriate	0	
CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	****	
CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	999	
MRA abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	0	

<u>Variant 2:</u> Suspected chronic mesenteric ischemia, Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	egory Relative Radiation Level	
CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	999	
MRA abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	0	
Arteriography abdomen	May Be Appropriate (Disagreement)	999	
CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	999	
MRA abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	0	
US duplex Doppler abdomen	May Be Appropriate	0	
CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	999	
CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	9999	
X-ray abdomen	Usually Not Appropriate	**	

87 yo woman with HTN, CAD, diabetes, dementia presents with severe and acute abdominal pain. Abdominal pain is maybe ?out of proportion to physical examination.

Now what? Do I order a study? What study do I order?



Part V: Oral and Intravenous Contrast for CT Examinations



Evaluation of Acute Abdominal Pain in the Emergency Setting Using Computed Tomography Without Oral Contrast in Patients With Body Mass Index Greater Than 25.

Uyeda JW¹, Yu H, Ramalingam V, Devalapalli AP, Soto JA, Anderson SW.

Author information

Abstract


PURPOSE: To evaluate the rate of delayed or missed diagnoses and need for additional computed tomography (CT) imaging in emergency department patients with abdominal pain who are imaged without oral contrast.


MATERIALS AND METHODS: The institutional review board approved this Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act-compliant retrospective study; informed consent was waived. All consecutive adult patients with body mass index greater than 25 undergoing a CT abdomen/pelvis with intravenous contrast and without oral contrast with nontraumatic acute abdominal pain during a 16-month period at our academic tertiary care center were included. Medical records were reviewed, imaging findings on admission CT, use of repeat CT examinations within 4 weeks of the original examination, and clinical outcomes were recorded. In patients undergoing repeat imaging, an investigator determined whether repeat imaging was influenced by the lack of oral contrast on the original examination. As the most common cause of bowel-related positive CT scans, an analysis of acute appendicitis was performed.

RESULTS: Of the 1992 patients included in this study, 4 patients (0.2%) underwent repeat CT studies directly related to the absence of oral contrast on the original examination. Of the 1992 CT scans, 1193(59.8%) were interpreted as negative, none of which required surgery or direct intervention. In patients with acute appendicitis, there was a sensitivity of CT in this patient population of 100% with a specificity of 99.5%.

CONCLUSIONS: In patients with body mass index greater than 25 presenting to the ED with acute abdominal pain, CT examinations can be acquired without oral contrast without compromising the clinical efficacy of CT.












Use of PO Contrast

- Recent postoperative patients (~30 days)
- Penetrating trauma (stab wound, GSW)
- At the request of the surgeon



































Risk Factors Warranting Renal Function Assessment

- Age > 60
- History of renal disease, including:
 - Dialysis
 - Renal cancer- Kidney transplant- Renal surgery
- History of hypertension requiring medical therapy
- History of diabetes mellitus
- Metformin or metformin-containing drug combinations
- *Patients scheduled for routine intravascular study but do NOT have one of the above risk factors do NOT require a baseline serum creatinine determination before iodinated contrast medium administration.



www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Contrast-Manual

Dialysis Patients

- Patients with anuric end-stage renal disease who do not have functioning transplant can receive IV contrast
- Theoretical risk of converting oliguric patient on dialysis to anuric patient but remains speculative
- Low-osmolality contrast is readily cleared by dialysis. Unless unusually large volume of contrast is given, or there is substantial underlying cardiac dysfunction, there is <u>NO</u> need for urgent dialysis after contrast



Allergic Reactions

Hydrocortisone-based: 5 hour prep (Inpatient & ED pts)

- 200 mg IV hydrocortisone 5 hours before contrast AND
- 200 mg IV hydrocortisone + 50 mg diphenhydramine 1 hour prior

OR

Prednisone-based: 13 hour prep (outpatient)

- 50 mg PO prednisone 13 and 7 hours before AND
- 50 mg PO prednisone + 50 mg diphenhydramine IV, IM, or PO 1 hour before

www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Contrast-Manual



Multiple Contrast Injections

No guidelines preclude multiple contrast injections in 24 hour period

Confirm that ordered IV contrast study is needed

Small risk of renal failure

Borderline eGFR (<30-45): consider hydration with normal saline

- 100 mL/hr for 1 hour and 4 hours following scan
- Total 500 mL



Allergic Reactions

- Premedication does <u>not</u> prevent all reactions
- Has <u>not</u> been confirmed to reduce moderate to severe reactions or reactionrelated deaths
- Limited supporting efficacy in high-risk patients
- History of prior severe contrast reaction is considered a relative contraindication
- Rare situations where urgency of contrast study may outweigh benefits of prophylaxis → must be made jointly by radiology, referring service, and the patient (if feasible) and resuscitation team should be available

www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Contrast-Manual



Lecture Summary

- Interpret basic chest radiographs
- Recognize and locate various tubes and lines
- Identify "can't miss radiology diagnoses" on plain x-ray and CT
- Discuss various imaging protocols and considerations
- Recognize the pros and cons of IV and PO contrast use in CT
- Optimally triage renal failure and contrast allergy patients



