

A Review of Common Clinical Scenarios in the Management of Atrial Fibrillation

Updates in Hospital Medicine Yee-Ping Sun, MD FACC Assistant Professor of Medicine





Learning objectives

- Manage thrombotic risk appropriately in patients with AF who are elderly, those with prosthetic valves and those with recurrent bleeding
- Appropriately utilize pharmacology in rate control of AFib
- Understand the impact of lifestyle modification on Afib
- Utilize cardiac CT in the exclusion of LA thrombi prior to DCCV
- Appropriately refer patients for an early rhythm control strategy
- Understand indications for PPM implantation in AFib





Exam:

- HR 116 bpm, BP 140/92, RR 14, O2 Sat 98% on Room Air, Weight 50 kg
- Comfortable appearing, appears much younger than stated age
- Erythematous posterior oropharynx and nasal mucosa, lungs clear
- Jugular venous pressure 6 cm H₂O, heartrate is irregularly irregular without murmurs, S3 not present, extremities warm and no edema

Testing

- BMP, CBC, LFTs and TSH notable for GFR ~45 ml/min/1.73 m²
- ECG reveals atrial fibrillation without significant ST/T changes
- TTE shows normal biventricular function, normal functioning bioprosthetic mitral valve and moderate LA dilation







Era without NOA	Cs (Year 1996 – 20)11)			
lschemic stroke		Hazard ratio (95%)	CI)		P value
No antithrombotic th	erapy	Reference		+	
Anti-platelet drugs	Unadjusted model	0.90 (0.80 - 1.02)		4	0.093
	Adjusted model ⁺	0.91 (0.80 - 1.04)		-	0.153
	Competing risk [#]	0.93 (0.82 - 1.06)	-	↓	0.255
	Propensity match	0.91 (0.78 - 1.06)		+	0.212
Warfarin	Unadjusted model	0.68 (0.49 - 0.93)		-	0.017
	Adjusted model [*]	0.65 (0.47 - 0.91)	·•		0.011
	Competing risk [#]	0.69 (0.49 - 0.96)		-	0.027
	Propensity match	0.61 (0.40 - 0.94)	·	-	0.024
ICH No antithrombotic th	erapy	Reference		+	
Anti-platelet drugs	Unadjusted model	0.95 (0.71 - 1.27)		•	0.733
	Adjusted model*	0.85 (0.63 - 1.14)		+-	0.272
	Competing risk [#]	0.87 (0.65 - 1.17)	·+	+-	0.365
	Propensity match	1.02 (0.70 - 1.48)		•	0.922
Warfarin	Unadjusted model	1.27 (0.72 - 2.25)		+ •	0.407
	Adjusted model ⁺	1.22 (0.68 - 2.18)	-	+ • · · ·	0.512
	Competing risk [*]	1.26 (0.70 - 2.25)		+	0.441
	Propensity match	1.46 (0.58 - 3.71)		•	0.425
			· · · ·	<u>k</u>	
			0.3 0.5	1.0 2.0	4.0
				the second second second	















What anticoagulant would you choose in this 50 kg 90 year old woman with a bioprosthetic AVR with an EGFR of 45 45 ml/min/1.73 m²?

- A. Rivaroxaban 20 mg daily
- B. Dose-adjusted warfarin for goal INR 2-3
- C. Apixaban 5 mg twice daily
- D. Apixaban 2.5 mg twice daily













History of the Present Illness:

65M with history of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and flutter status post ablation presenting with pAF in the setting of AKI and viral gastroenteritis. Active at baseline. Plays recreational hockey, does yoga, and jogs 3-4 miles 2-3x per week. He drinks espresso twice daily and 1-2 glass of alcohol on the weekend.

He is on apixaban 5 mg twice daily though he has missed a few doses recently and is also on metoprolol 50 mg every 6 hours.



Case 2 continued

Exam:

On exam, he is tachycardic to 128, blood pressure is 110/67 and he is saturating well on room air. He has dry mucous membranes. His jugular venous pressure is < 5 cm H2O. On cardiovascular exam, he is irregularly irregular without murmurs or S3. Lungs are clear and her extremities are warm without any peripheral edema.







Question*

What would be your next management steps for his atrial flutter?

- A. Increase metoprolol to 100 q6h
- B. Continue metoprolol add diltiazem
- C. Continue metoprolol and load with digoxin
- D. Continue metoprolol and start amiodarone
- E. Schedule TEE and cardioversion





late Cont	rol Agents		
	Advantages	Disadvantages	Practical Tips
Beta-Blockers	• Most effective • Safe with LV dysfunction	 Bolus IV for metoprolol Esmolol available as continuous infusion Bronchospasm possible 	 Always load with oral after IV Cardioselective safe in COPD Cardioselective: bisoprolol >> atenolol > metoprolol Carvedilol: non- cardioselective
Non- dihydropyridine Calcium Channel Blockers	 No issues with bronchospasm Diltiazem available as continuous infusion 	• Avoid with LV dysfunction	 Always load with oral after IV Verapamil sometimes more effective than diltiazem
		IGHAM AND DMEN'S HOSPITAL leart & Vascular Center	

Rate Con	trol Agents		
	Advantages	Disadvantages	Practical Tips
Digoxin	No negative inotropic effect	 Slows resting ventricular response but not with exercise Narrow therapeutic window Slow onset 	 Replace K/Mg first Level < 1, check after a few doses Avoid in elderly and CKD Should not be used as monotherapy
Amiodarone	 Continuous infusion Minimal negative inotropic effect 	 Thromboembolism with pharmacologic conversion Long-term toxicity Thrombophlebitis 	 Reserve only for those who cannot tolerate above therapies Load slowly Transition to oral as soon as possible
	BRIG WON	HAM AND 1EN'S HOSPITAL † & Vascular Center	

























History of the Present Illness:

68 year old man with esophageal strictures status post multiple esophageal dilations with a history of hypertension presents with multiple embolic strokes and is found to have newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation. He has good functional capacity and has no anginal symptoms. He has been cleared by neurology to start anticoagulation and is currently on apixaban 5 mg twice daily and metoprolol 50 mg twice daily.



Case 3

Exam:

HR 86, BP 130/70, Right sided facial droop but remainder of neurologic exam normal, JVP 6 cm H2O, irregularly irregular, no murmurs, no S3/S4, extremities warm and no edema

TTE: LVEF 30-35% with global hypokinesis, no significant valvular abnormalities, LVEF significantly changed from 1 year prior





What is the next best management step?

- A. Ischemic Testing with angiography
- B. Ischemic evaluation with stress testing
- C. No further testing, continuation of metoprolol and apixaban
- D. Transesophageal echocardiography and cardioversion
- E. Cardiac CT and cardioversion





Contraindications to TEE

- TEE is an invasive procedure
- Absolute contraindications:
 - Neutropenia
 - Esophagitis
 - Recent esophageal surgery
 - Recent radiation with ongoing dysphagia
- Relative Contraindications
 - Varices
 - Thrombocytopenia (< 50K)
 - Unevaluated dysphagia
 - Esophageal strictures





















Question*

What is the next best management step?

- A. Transition from apixaban to rivaroxaban
- B. Transition from apixaban to aspirin 81 mg daily
- C. Transition from apixaban to dose adjusted warfarin for INR 2-3
- D. Cessation of anticoagulation
- E. Cessation of anticoagulation and referral for LAA occlusion



Percutaneous LAA occlusion

- Non-inferior to warfarin
- LAA occlusion is FDA approved for
 - CHA_2DS_2 -Vasc ≥ 2
 - Appropriate rationale for forgoing anticoagulation
 - Candidate for 6 weeks of anticoagulation
- Can consider if patient cannot tolerate AC at all but ideally on short-term anticoagulation to prevent device thrombosis



BRIGHAM AND BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL Heart & Vascular Center



History of the Present Illness

A 67 year-old man with a history of hypertension (wellcontrolled on lisinopril and amlodipine) and diabetes presents for an elective knee replacement. On POD 3, he is noted to have an irregular pulse though asymptomatic. 12-lead ECG shows sinus rhythm. Over the next 24 hours, he is placed on telemetry and is noted to have paroxysmal atrial fibrillation with 3 episodes of atrial fibrillation lasting 30-60 minutes.

Exam and Workup

Pulse is 86 and blood pressure 130/72 and is otherwise unremarkable. ECG and transthoracic echocardiogram are also unremarkable except for a mildly dilated left atrium.







Author Year Fevents Population Events Population Vents M. Random, 95% Cl M. Random, 95% Cl 21.1 Long term stroke Horkch ²³ 2013 337 2214 581 5844 24.8% 1.26 [1.06, 1.47] Whitlock ¹⁰ 2014 NA 18046 NA 81091 26.3% 1.07 [1.00, 1.21] Image: constraint of the strong			POA		No I	POAF		Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
2.1.1.00g_attrin stroke 1.26 (1.00g_attrin stroke Horwich ²¹ 2013 337 2214 581 5844 24.8% 1.26 (1.00g_1.47) Windlock ²¹ 2014 NA 18046 NA 81081 26.3% 1.10 (1.0.0, 1.21) Orieldmi, non-cardiat ² 2014 184 126 (1.20g_1.47) 100.00, 1.21) 100.00, 1.21) Subtohal (95% C) 937 443 14497 7008 1791584 100.09, 2.250 Subtohal (95% C) 943 14497 7008 1791584 100.09, 1.21 1.37 (107.477) Heterogenety, Turi = 0.05, C/H = 397, df = 3 (P < 0.0001); P = 92% 128 (1.0.1, 1.21) 1.37 (107.477) 1.37 (107.477) Za1, Zong-term mortality Martecaico ²¹ 2008 452 18% 2.56 (1.50, 4.37)	Author	Year	Events P	opulation	Events	Population	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Horwintor." 2013 337 2214 991 594 248% 12610.05,1471 Whitock." 2014 189 12874 5915 1842493 24.5% 2001.70,2235 Gliadmit, acriate." 2014 189 12874 5915 1842493 24.5% 2001.70,2235 Gliadmit, acriate." 2014 189 12874 5915 1842493 24.5% 2001.70,2235 Gliadmit, acriate." 2014 189 12874 5915 1842494 24.5% 2001.70,2235 Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.0001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.0001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.0001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.0001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.0001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.0001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.0001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.001); P = 2.2% Testor overall effect. Z = 2.45 (P = 0.01) Mairscalco ²⁴ 2009 452 11814 753 5065 8.0% 12.80 [1.6, 1.43] Mairscalco ²⁴ 2010 110 180 1122 569 4.3% Table 1.48 [1.12, 1.98] Eroname ⁴ 2010 1701 2965 4.47% 1128 0.46% 128 1.184 8.6% 12.0 [1.6, 2.39] Horwich ³⁵ 2010 1701 2965 4.678 1364 8.6% 12.0 [1.6, 1.43] Horwich ³⁶ 2013 1080 2537 2332 8130 91% 1.10 [1.6, 0.14] Horwich ³⁵ 2014 301 2752 544 4.609 8.6% 1.40 [1.0, 0.16, 1.4] Horwich ³⁵ 2014 381 2152 544 4.609 8.6% 1.40 [1.0, 0.16, 1.4] Horwich ³⁵ 2015 46 138 2.6 138 0.4% 1.28 [1.0, 1.62] Horwich ³⁵ 2016 68 554 594 14594 2.2% 1.58 [1.0, 1.62] Horwich ³⁴ 2015 46 138 2.6 138 0.4% 1.38 [0.5, 6, 511] Horwich ³⁵ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70 [1.0, 0.163] Horwich ³⁴ 2015 12 22.6 119 377 4.4% 1.40 [0.80, 2.45] Leb (wmai4 ⁴⁵ 2017 19 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70 [1.0, 0.13] Horwich ³⁴ 2015 12 22.6 119 3103 1.6% 7.73 [1.3, 2.8] Horwich ³⁴ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70 [1.0, 0.162] Horwich ³⁴ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70 [1.0, 0.17, 1.2] Horwich ³⁴ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70 [1.0, 0.162] Horwich ³⁴ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70 [1.0, 0.0] Horw	2.1.1 Long-term stroke								-
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Horwich ²²	2013	337	2214	581	5844	24.8%	1.26 [1.08, 1.47]	
Onlinin, non-retroate: 2014 199 16249 3913 194243 2453 2455 Onlinin, non-retroate: 2014 117 11837 512 11706 2443 4457 Subtoal (95% C) 110 11037 512 11706 2443 44571 7000 1791584 100,001,07,2-33 Testor overall effect Z = 245 (7 = 0.01) 2008 73 570 122 1282 1.88 2.56 [150, 4.37] Mariscalco ²⁴ 2009 73 570 122 1282 1.88 2.56 [150, 4.37] Filardo ²⁴ 2009 452 1181 753 5055 8.09 1.28 [116, 1.43] + Abisson ³⁵ 2009 440 419 191 1000 5.09 1.58 [102, 1.79] + Abisson ³⁵ 2010 110 180 1122 398 3976 4.38 [132, 1.98] + Bramer ⁴ 2010 1701 2965 4409 2.59 1.57 [10.5, 1.49] + ImpactorH ¹⁴ 2013 163 257 232	Whitlock **	2014	NA 100	18046	NA CO1C	81091	26.3%	1.10 [1.00, 1.21]	
	Gialdini, non-cardiac*	2014	189	128/4	5915	1642943	24.5%	2.00 [1.70, 2.35]	
Saturdar (25) (1) Chi 23 Chi 24 Chi 24 Chi 25 Chi 24 Chi 24 <thchi 24<="" th=""> <</thchi>	Subtotal (06% CI)	2014	642	1183/	7009	1701694	24.4%	4 27 (4 07 4 77)	·····
Treat for overall field: Z = 2.4 5 = 0.01) 2.1.2 Long-term mortality Mariascito ²⁴ 2008 73 570 122 1.8% 2.56 [1.50, 4.37] Filardo ²⁷⁴ 2009 452 1814 753 5065 8.0% 1.29 [1.16, 1.43] Ahisson ²⁸ 2009 440 419 191 1000 50% 1.56 [1.22, 1.86] Bramet ² 2010 108 1122 398 376 4.3% 1.35 [1.02, 1.79] Ahisson ²³ 2010 168 1122 398 376 4.3% 1.35 [1.02, 1.79] Ahisson ²³ 2010 168 1122 398 376 4.3% 1.35 [1.02, 1.79] Ahisson ²³ 2010 170 495 447 3.18 [1.02, 1.70] 4.3% 2.36 [1.50, 1.43] Ei-Cham ³¹ 2010 1701 295 4478 1.38 [1.02, 1.63]	Justerenersity Tev2 - 0.0	0. OHR - 0	040	449/1	1000	1791304	100.0%	1.57 [1.07, 1.77]	
2.1.2 Long-term mortality Mariac calco ²⁴ 2008 73 570 122 1282 1.28 [1.6, 1.43] Mariac calco ²⁴ 2009 452 1814 753 5508 8.0% 1.58 [1.5, 1.43]	Test for overall effect: Z =	2.45 (P = 0	.01)	× 0.00001,	1, 1- = 9270				
Anisola Constraint	212Long.term mortality								
Imperative 2000 4.20 1.10 1.22 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.10 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20 1.11 1.20	Mariecolco24	2009	72	570	122	1262	1 9%	2 56 11 50 4 271	
Intercents 2005 7.22 101 1.35 3080 80.76 1.58 1.61 1.63 Image: Constraint of the state of the stat	Eilardo26	2000	452	1014	752	5095	0.0%	1 20 [1 16 1 42]	+
Anisson ² 2009 110 488 131 165 0.55 1.40 1.21 189 Branes ¹ 2010 168 1122 398 3976 4.36 1.40 1.21 199 Branes ¹ 2010 168 1122 398 3976 4.36 1.35 1.62 1.79 1.62 1.40 1.21 199 Branes ¹ 2010 170 2985 4978 1318 866 1.21 1121 313 1.62 1313 1.62 1313 1.62 1313 1.62 1314 1.35 1.62 1.37 1.65 1.63 1.57 1.63 2.35 + - </td <td>Ablecon²⁵</td> <td>2003</td> <td>140</td> <td>410</td> <td>101</td> <td>1000</td> <td>6.0%</td> <td>1.56 (1.00, 1.40)</td> <td></td>	Ablecon ²⁵	2003	140	410	101	1000	6.0%	1.56 (1.00, 1.40)	
Brinner* 2010 168 1722 398 3976 138 112,17,79 Ablason ² 2010 1701 2465 610 662,235	Filordo ²⁹	2005	140	913	112	660	4 200	1 49 (1 12 1 06)	
Ahlson ²⁷ 2010 140 165 60 006 27% 15710.65.2.35 Eichami ²⁸ 2011 1701 2965 4678 1314 86% 1.211(1.12,131) + Eichami ²⁸ 2011 675 2965 4678 1314 86% 1.211(1.12,131) + D'Neak White ³⁴ 2013 150 2537 2332 8330 81% 1.101(1.06,1.14) + Hownich ³⁴ 2013 160 2537 2322 8330 81% 1.201(1.06,1.33) + Hownich ³⁴ 2013 177 377 446 1298 68% 1.401(1.30,1.61) + Hownich ³⁴ 2014 381 2152 548 4668 86% 1.401(1.30,1.61) + Hownich ³⁴ 2015 122 1594 648 86% 1.401(1.30,1.61) + Hownich ³⁴ 2015 122 251 138 0.4% 1.251(1.05,1.40) + Humit ¹⁴¹ 2015 122 261 1377 456 1.30(1.56,1.40) + Humit ¹⁴¹ 2015 122 261 1377 456 1.30(1.56,1.40) + Humit ¹⁴¹ 2015 </td <td>Promor⁴</td> <td>2010</td> <td>169</td> <td>1122</td> <td>200</td> <td>2076</td> <td>4.3%</td> <td>1 25 [1 02 1 70]</td> <td><u> </u></td>	Promor ⁴	2010	169	1122	200	2076	4.3%	1 25 [1 02 1 70]	<u> </u>
Ein Chama ³² 2010 1701 2985 4878 1184 8.6% 12.11 1.2, 1.31	Ableeon ²⁷	2010	49	165	60	106	2 7 %	1.57 [1.02, 1.75]	
Bramer ¹ 2011 65 361 45 496 246 2.001 34, 220 Imparatori 2012 11 45 270 400 2,57 117 074, 100 O'Neak While ¹⁴ 2013 1080 2537 2332 830 9,16 1101, 06, 1,14 * Howich ¹⁴ 2013 171 270 446 1298 6.96 1.201, 06, 1,33 * O'Neak Black ¹⁴ 2013 171 270 446 1298 6.96 1.401, 20, 1,631 * Thoran ¹⁷ 2014 281 2152 564 6.96 1.401, 20, 1,631 * Howich ¹³ 2015 46 138 2.8 1.30, 0,55, 111 * Tula ¹⁴ 2016 68 554 564 4.96 1.30, 2.52, 2.27 * Kohan ^{4*} 2016 68 554 564 1.401, 20, 2.43 * * Unidow 112 2.26 113 1	El-Chami ²⁸	2010	1701	2985	4878	13184	8.6%	1 21 [1 12 1 31]	+
Impartment ¹¹ 2012 31 45 270 400 2.5% 11.71 0.76 1.00 Orbeal, While ¹⁴ 013 1080 2537 2332 8330 91% 1101 0.06 1.14 - Horwich ¹³ 2013 683 2214 1255 5844 80% 1.201 0.63 - - Orbeal, Bitack ¹⁴ 2013 171 370 446 1228 6.9% 1.401 0.30 - - Achaar ³⁵ 2014 381 2152 548 4669 8.6% 1.401 0.30 - - Achaar ³⁵ 2014 884 121 2751 5094 6.4% 1.251 0.56 1.41 - </td <td>Bramer⁵</td> <td>2011</td> <td>65</td> <td>361</td> <td>45</td> <td>495</td> <td>2.4%</td> <td>2 09 [1 34 3 26]</td> <td></td>	Bramer ⁵	2011	65	361	45	495	2.4%	2 09 [1 34 3 26]	
O'Near While ¹⁴ 2013 1080 2537 2332 8330 9.1% 1.101.06.1.14 ************************************	Imperatori 12	2012	31	45	270	409	2.5%	1.17 [0.76, 1.80]	
Howsch ³³ 2013 693 2214 1255 5844 80.95 12.01.08,133 → Orbeat, Black ⁴⁴ 2013 171 370 446 1928 6.95 14.01.20,163 → Thoren ¹² 2014 381 2152 548 4608 8.6% 14.01.30,151 → Ad-Shaar ³⁵ 2014 884 1211 2751 5944 4.6% 1251.05,149 → Tulla ¹⁴ 2015 46 138 26 138 0.4% 1.83.055,6111 → Meldunl ¹³ 2015 122 215 310 1.78.1.38,2.321 → Comer ⁴⁴ 2016 68 554 594 4.5% 1.56.10.5,2.321 → Comer ⁴⁴ 2016 62 215 320 1.43 1.40.08.0,2.451 → Lee, female ⁴⁵ 2017 9 15 73 395 4.33.080 → Fengstud ⁴² 2017 105 162	O'Neal, White ³⁴	2013	1080	2537	2332	8330	9.1%	1.10 [1.06, 1.14]	•
O'Nea, Black ¹⁴ 2013 171 370 446 128 6.9% 1.401, 20, 1.63 Thoren ³⁷ 2014 381 2152 548 4669 8.6% 1.401, 20, 1.63 Al-Shaar ³⁵ 2014 884 1211 2751 5094 6.4% 1.251, 0.51, 1.49 Tulla ¹⁴ 2015 64 138 2.6% 1.791, 138, 2.23	Horwich ³³	2013	693	2214	1255	5844	8.0%	1.20 [1.08, 1.33]	+
Thoren ²⁷ 2014 381 2152 548 4669 8.6% 1.4.01(3.0), 1.51	O'Neal, Black ³⁴	2013	171	370	446	1928	6.9%	1.40 [1.20, 1.63]	-
Al-Bhara ³⁵ 2014 884 1211 2751 5094 6.4% 12.5 [1.05, 1.49] Inlia ¹⁴ 2015 16 138 2.6 138 0.4% 12.5 [1.05, 1.49] Melduni ¹³ 2015 112 2.26 119 377 4.6% 1.78 [1.38, 2.32] Cohart ⁴⁵ 2016 582 554 544 14.1654 2.08 5.6 [1.05, 2.32] Omer ⁴¹ 2016 82 2.15 320 1033 1.6% 1.40 [0.80, 2.45] Lee/normale ⁴³ 2.017 9 15 7.3 365 0.4% 5.70 [2.10, 21.37] Lee, female ⁴³ 2.017 9 15 1.31 0.4% 3.96 [1.1, 13.80] Wintels ¹³ 2.017 105 165 1.32 [0.6, 1.62] 1.22 [0.8, 1.50] Lee, nemale ⁴³ 2.017 152 1.21 3.55 [1.20] 1.21 [0.8] 1.50 [0.56] Lee, male ⁴³ 2.017 7 1.02 5 2.91 [0.5% 1.22 [0.8] 5.0]	Thoren ³⁷	2014	381	2152	548	4669	8.6%	1.40 [1.30, 1.51]	-
Tulia ¹⁴ 2015 46 138 26 138 0.4% 1.83 (0.55, 6.11) Melduni ¹³ 2015 112 226 119 377 4.6% 1.83 (0.55, 6.11) Kothan ⁴² 2016 60 554 594 1.45 (1.48, 2.32)	Al-Shaar ³⁵	2014	884	1211	2751	5094	6.4%	1.25 [1.05, 1.49]	
Meldum ¹³ 2015 112 226 119 377 4.6% 1.78[1.38, 2.32] Cohant ⁴⁰ 2016 68 554 554 144 14594 2.08 1.56[1.05, 2.32] Omer ⁴¹ 2016 82 215 320 1033 1.6% 1.40[0.80, 2.43] Lebowta ⁴⁴ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70[2.10, 2137] Les (male ⁴³ 2017 9 79 10 314 0.4% 3.98[1.13, 13.89] Fengstud ⁴³ 2017 105 165 191 406 5.1% 1.28[1.01, 1.62] Swinkels ¹³ 2017 105 165 191 406 5.1% 1.28[1.01, 1.62] Leg, male ⁴³ 2017 7 102 5 201 0.5% 1.22[0.89, 1.50] Leg, male ⁴³ 2017 7 102 5 201 0.5% 1.22[0.89, 1.50]	Tulla ¹⁴	2015	46	138	26	138	0.4%	1.83 [0.55, 6.11]	
Kothan ⁴⁰ 2016 66 554 594 14594 2.8% 1.561(1.05, 2.32) Omer ⁴¹ 2016 62 2.15 320 133 16% 1.40(2.06, 2.45) Leb, bruits ⁴⁴ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70(2.10, 21.37) Lee, fernia ⁴³ 2017 9 15 131 1.6% 3.96[1.13, 13.89] Fengsrud ⁴³ 2017 105 165 191 406 5.1% 1.28[1.01, 1.62] Swinkels ¹³ 2017 108 2.41 2.31 2.38 5.69 1.22[0.93, 1.50] Lee, mais ⁴³ 2017 7 102 5 2.91 0.5% 26(4.06, 6.34) Subtotal (5% CI) 6605 10800 15720 7.47 1.37 1.37 (1.27, 1.49]	Melduni ³⁸	2015	112	226	119	377	4.6%	1.79 [1.38, 2.32]	
Omer ⁴⁴ 2016 82 215 320 1033 1.6% 1.40(0.60, 2.45) Lebowtz ⁴⁴ 2017 9 15 73 356 0.4% 6.70(2.10, 21.37) Les (kmale ⁴³ 2017 9 79 10 314 0.4% 3.96(1.13, 13.89) Fangsrud ⁴³ 2017 105 165 181 406 5.1% 1.28(10, 1.62) Swinkels ¹³ 2017 165 241 231 328 5.6% 1.22(0.99, 1.50) Leg, male ⁴³ 2017 7 102 5 291 0.5%	Kothari ⁴⁰	2016	68	554	584	14594	2.8%	1.56 [1.05, 2.32]	
Leibovitž ⁴⁴ 2017 9 15 73 395 0.4% 6.70[210,2137]	Omer ⁴¹	2016	82	215	320	1033	1.6%	1.40 [0.80, 2.45]	+
Lee, female ⁴⁵ 2017 9 79 10 314 0.4% 3.96[11,3,13.88] Fengstud ⁴² 2017 105 1155 191 406 518, 12.8[10,1,162] Swinkels ¹⁵ 2017 168 241 231 328 56% 12.2[19,9,1,50] Lee, male ⁴³ 2017 7 102 5 291 0.5% -12.6[0,40,40,6,80,9] Subtolal (55% CI) 6605 11000 1572 70217 100.0% -237(1227,1,49)	Leibowitz 44	2017	9	15	73	395	0.4%	6.70 [2.10, 21.37]	
Fengsrud ⁴² 2017 105 165 191 406 51% 1.2811.01,162 Swinkels ¹³ 2017 169 241 231 328 569 1.22(0.93,150) Lee, male ⁴⁰ 2017 7 102 5 291 0.5% -22640306.533 Subtotal (c9% CI) 6605 15008 1572 7247 100.9 -37(127,149)	Lee, female ⁴³	2017	9	79	10	314	0.4%	3.96 [1.13, 13.88]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Swinkels ¹³ 2017 169 241 231 328 56% 122 (0.99, 150) Leg male ⁴³ 2017 7 102 5 291 0.5% 122 (0.99, 150) subtotal (95% CI) 6605 18080 15720 70217 100.0% 137 (127, 149)	Fengsrud ⁴²	2017	105	165	191	406	5.1%	1.28 [1.01, 1.62]	
Lee, male ⁴³ 2017 7 102 5 291 0.5% - 3026(0.90), 6.39) Subtotal (95% CI) 6605 18080 15720 70217 100.0% - 37 (1.27, 1.49)	Swinkels ¹⁵	2017	169	241	231	328	5.6%	1.22 [0.99, 1.50]	
Subtotal (95% CI) 6605 18080 15720 70217 100.0% 1.37 (1.27, 1.49]	Lee, male ⁴³	2017	7	102	5	291	0.5%	-2.26 (0.80, 6.39)	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		6605	18080	15720	70217	100.0%	1.37 [1.27, 1.49]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 91.67, df = 22 (P < 0.00001); I ² = 76%	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03	2; Chi ² = 9	1.67, df = 22 (P < 0.0000	1); l ² = 769	6			
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.89 (P < 0.00001)	Test for overall effect: Z =	7.89 (P < 0	.00001)						
								0.1	02 05 1 2 5 10

A	W	POAF	:	No P	OAF	144-1-1-4	Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
2 1 1 Long-term stroke	Year	Events P	opulation	Events	Population	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Honwich ³³	2012	227	2214	591	5944	24.0%	1 26 [1 09 1 47]	
Whitlock 10	2013	NA	10046	NA	91001	24.070	1 10 [1 00 1 21]	-
Gialdini non-cardiac ⁹	2014	190	12074	6016	1642042	24.5%	2 00 [1 70 2 25]	
Gialdini, non-cardiac	2014	117	11027	612	61706	24.570	1 20 [1 10 1 64]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	2014	643	44971	7008	1791584	100.0%	1.37 [1.07, 1.77]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0 Test for overall effect: Z =	06; Chi² = 38 2.45 (P = 0	8.77, df = 3 (P .01)	< 0.00001)	; I ^z = 92%				
2.1.2 Long-term mortality	ly .							
Mariscalco ²⁴	2008	73	570	122	1262	1.8%	2.56 [1.50, 4.37]	
Filardo ²⁶	2009	452	1814	753	5085	8.0%	1.29 [1.16, 1.43]	+
Ablsson ²⁵	2000							
trongly	/ C(ons	side	2ľ á El	ant	ico	bagula	tion even in
trongly ost-ope	/ Co era	ons tive	side e A	er a F!	ant	ico	bagula	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope	/ C(era		2214 2214	191 F! 1255	5844	8.0%	1.56 (1 23 1 98) Dagula	ntion even in
trongly Ost-ope Norwich ³³ ONeal, Black ³⁴ Thorem ³²	/ C(era	000 tive	2214 2214 370 2152	191 F! 1255 446 549	5844 1928	8.0% 6.9%	1.20 (1.06, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63)	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope ^{Horwich³³ ^{ONeal, Black³⁴ Thoren³² Alshar³⁵}}	/ C(era ²⁰¹³ 2014 2014	000 tive	2214 2214 370 2152	191 F! 1255 446 548 2751	5844 5844 1928 5094	8.0% 8.0% 8.6%	1.56 (1 23 1 98) Dagula 1.20 (1.08, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 1.46 (1.30, 1.51)	ntion even in
trongly Ost-ope Norwich ²⁹ ONeal, Black ³⁴ Thoren ²⁷ Ak-Shaar ³⁵	/ C(era 2013 2014 2014 2014	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	2214 370 2152 1211	191 F! 1255 446 548 2751 26	5844 5844 1928 4669 5094	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4%	1.20 (1.08, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 1.25 (1.05, 1.49) 1.830 (1.56 6.11)	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope ^{Horwich³⁵} ^{ONeal, Black³⁴ Thoren³² A-Shaar³⁵ Tulla⁴⁴ Medum³⁵}	2013 2013 2014 2014 2014 2015	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	2214 370 2152 1211 138 226	191 F! 1255 446 548 2751 26 119	5844 1928 4669 5094 138	8.0% 6.9% 6.4% 0.4% 4.6%	1.20 (1.08, 1.33) 1.20 (1.08, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 1.25 (1.06, 1.49) 1.83 (0.55, 6.14) 1.83 (0.55, 6.14)	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope O'Neal, Black ³⁴ Thoren ³⁷ A-Shaar ³⁵ Tulla ⁴⁴ Meldumi ³⁶	2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015	5005 593 171 381 884 46 112 58	2214 2214 370 2152 1211 138 226 554	191 F 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584	5844 5844 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4% 0.4% 4.6% 2.8%	1.56 (1.23.1.98) Dagula 1.20 (1.09, 1.33) 1.40 (1.30, 1.63) 1.25 (1.05, 1.49) 1.83 (0.55, 6.11) 1.79 (1.38, 2.32) 1.56 (1.05, 2.72)	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope ^{Orkeal, Black³⁴ Thorn¹⁷ A-Shaa²⁵ Tulla¹⁴ Kolthan⁴⁰ Comer⁴¹}	2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016	693 171 381 884 46 112 68 82	2214 370 2152 1211 138 226 554	191 Pr 6 F! 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584	5844 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594 1033	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4% 0.4% 4.6% 2.8% 1.6%	120 (1.08, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 1.25 (1.05, 1.49) 1.33 (0.55, 6.11) 1.79 (1.38, 2.32) 1.56 (1.05, 2.32) 1.40 (1.00, 2.45)	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope ost-ope ost-ope ost-ope ost-ope ost ost ost ost ost ost ost ost ost ost	2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2016 2017	693 171 381 884 46 112 68 82 9	2214 370 2152 1211 138 226 554 215	191 Pr 8 F! 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584 320 73	1000 301 5844 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594 1033 395	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4% 0.4% 4.6% 2.8% 1.6% 0.4%	1.20 (1.08, 1.33) 1.20 (1.08, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.25 (1.05, 1.49) 1.25 (1.05, 1.49) 1.38 (0.55, 6.11) 1.75 (1.05, 2.32) 1.40 (0.80, 2.45) 5.70 (2.10, 21, 37)	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope ost-ope Achar ³⁴ Tula ³ ar ³⁵ Kome ⁴⁴ Lebowz ⁴⁴ Lebowz ⁴⁴	2013 2013 2014 2014 2014 2015 2016 2016 2016 2017	0000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 500	2214 370 2152 1211 138 255 254 215 15	191 Pr 8 F! 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584 320 73	1000 300 5844 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594 1033 395 314	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4% 0.4% 2.8% 1.6% 0.4%	1.26 (1 23 1 98) agula 1.20 (1 08, 1.33) 1.40 (1 20, 1 63) 1.40 (1 30, 1 51) 1.25 (1 06, 1 49) 1.83 (0.55, 61 11) 1.83 (0.55, 61 11) 1.8	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope Ost-ope Ost-ope A-Shar ³⁵ Tula ¹⁴ Meldun ¹³ Kothar ⁴⁰ Ome ⁴¹ Leelowuz ⁴⁴ Fenosrud ⁴²	/ C(2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2016 2016 2017 2017	593 171 381 884 46 112 68 82 9 9 9	2214 370 2152 1211 138 256 255 15 15 79 79	191 F 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584 320 73 10 191	5844 56844 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594 1033 395 314	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4% 0.4% 1.6% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4%	1.20 (1.06, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 1.25 (1.06, 1.63) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 1.25 (1.06, 1.63) 1.56 (1.06, 2.62) 1.56 (1.06,	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope ost-ope Achar ³⁵ Achar ³⁵ Medua ⁴⁶ Cone ⁴¹ Lebown ⁴⁶ Cone ⁴¹ Lebown ⁴⁶ Swinkle ⁴⁵ Fengenud ⁴² Swinkle ⁴³	/ C(era 2013 2013 2014 2015 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017	0000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	2214 370 2152 1211 138 226 554 255 15 79 165 79	191 27 8 7 8 10 119 7 3 10 121 7 3 10 121 7 3 1	1000 5844 1928 4669 5094 1388 377 14594 1033 3955 314 406 328	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4% 0.4% 1.6% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 5.1%	1 56 (1 23 1 98) A GUIDA 1.20 (1 08, 1.33) 1.40 (1 20, 1.63) 1.40 (1 20, 1.63) 1.40 (1 30, 1.51) 1.35 (1 0.5, 1.49) 1.38 (1 0.5, 1.32) 1.56 (1 0.5, 1.32) 1.56 (1 0.5, 1.32) 1.57 (1 0.2, 1.32) 3.56 (1 0.1, 1.33) 3.56 (1 1.1, 1.32) 3.56 (1 1.1, 1.32) 3.57 (1 1.1, 1.32) 3	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope one howch ³⁵ Oheal, Black ¹⁴ Thoren ³⁷ Al-Shaar ³⁵ Tulla ¹⁴ Meldun ³⁸ Kothan ⁴⁰ Omer ⁴¹ Lee, male ⁴³ Swinkels ¹⁵ Lee, male ⁴³	/ C(2013 2013 2014 2014 2014 2016 2016 2016 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000	2214 370 2152 1211 138 2264 2155 15 79 165 2411 102	191 F 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584 320 73 10 191 231 5	1000 5844 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594 1033 395 314 406 328 291	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 0.4% 4.6% 2.8% 1.6% 0.4% 0.4% 5.1% 5.6%	1 56/1 23 1 981 Daguta 1 20 (1 08, 1 33) 1 40 (1 20, 1 63) 1 40 (1 20, 1 63) 1 40 (1 20, 1 63) 1 40 (1 30, 1 51) 1 55 (1 53, 1 51) 1 55 (1	ntion even in
trongly ost-ope ost-ope howch ³¹ Ofkea, Black ³⁴ Thoren ³⁷ Ar-Shaar ³³ Tula ³ Makar ³⁵ Tula ³ Makar ³⁶ Omer ⁴¹ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁴ Lebowtz ⁴⁶ Swinkels ¹⁵ Leomaie ⁴⁵ Subtotal (95% ct)	2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2016 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	693 171 381 884 112 68 9 9 9 105 169 7 6605	2214 370 21521 1211 138 226 554 225 554 15 79 9165 241 102 18080	191 F 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584 320 73 100 191 231 231 5 15720	1000 5644 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594 1033 395 314 406 328 291 70217	8.0% 6.9% 6.4% 0.4% 4.6% 1.6% 0.4% 5.1% 5.1% 5.6% 100.0%	1 56 (1 23 1 98) AGU (23 1 98) AGU (108, 1.33) 1.20 (1 08, 1.33) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.20, 1.63) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 1.83 (0.55, 6.11) 1.83 (0.55, 6.11) 1.83 (0.55, 6.11) 1.40 (0.80, 2.45) 6.70 (2.10, 2.137) 3.96 (1.13, 1.388) 1.28 (1.01, 1.62) 1.28 (0.80, 6.39) 2.28 (0.80, 6.39) 2.37 (1.27, 1.489)	ntion even in
trongly ost-ops ost-ops ost-ops ost-ops ost-ops delaga del	2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2016 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	0000 0000	2214 370 2152 1211 138 226 554 215 554 215 165 79 165 241 102 18080 P < 0.0000	191 27 1255 446 548 2751 26 119 584 320 73 10 191 231 5 15720 1); I*= 76%	5844 1928 4669 5094 138 377 14594 1033 395 314 406 328 291 70217	8.0% 6.9% 8.6% 6.4% 4.6% 2.8% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 5.6% 0.5% 100.0%	150(123,198) AGUIDA 1.20(1.08,1.33) 1.40(1.20,1.63) 1.40(1.20,1.63) 1.40(1.30,1.51) 1.35(1.05,1.48) 1.38(0.55,6.11) 1.38(0.55,6.11) 1.38(0.54,1.48) 1.38(0.54,1.48) 1.38(0.13,1.38) 1.38(1.13,1.38) 1.32(0.84,1.50) 2.28(0.80,6.33) 1.57(1.27,1.48)	ntion even in





A 74 year old man with a long-standing history of atrial fibrillation presents with acute decompensated heart failure. He has undergone 4 prior atrial fibrillation ablations that have failed. He is currently being managed on amiodarone 200 mg daily, metoprolol 200 mg daily, verapamil 360 mg daily and digoxin 0.125 mg daily. On exam, he is normotensive and hypoxic. On auscultation, he is irregularly irregular without murmurs, jugular venous pressure is elevated, crackles are present bilaterally, lower extremity edema is present but they are warm. ECG shows atrial fibrillation with ventricular rates in the 140s. TTE shows a reduction in LV function with massive biatrial enlargement despite a recent negative ischemic evaluation. Thyroid function testing is normal.













Take-Home Points

- Advanced age is not a contraindication to anticoagulation
- DOACs can be used safely in many patients with bioprosthetic valves
- Cardiac CT is a reasonable alternative to TEE to exclude LAA thrombus
- Consider rhythm control strategy in patients with HFrEF on GDMT with high burden of Afib AND in new onset Afib
- Alcohol but not caffeine cessation prevents recurrent Afib
- LAA occlusion should be considered in those who cannot tolerate anticoagulation
- CHA₂DS₂-Vasc is the most important consideration
- PPM therapy should only be considered in patients with concomitant significant bradyarrhythmias or those undergoing AV junctional ablation

